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#### Abstract

Let $S[i, j]$ denote the $q$-Stirling numbers of the second kind. We show that the determinant of the  We give two proofs of this result, one bijective and one based upon factoring the matrix. We also prove an identity due to Cigler that expresses the Hankel determinant of $q$-exponential polynomials as a product. Lastly, a two variable version of a theorem of Sylvester and an application are presented. © 2003 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.


## 1. Introduction

The Stirling numbers of the second kind, $S(n, k)$, count the number of partitions of an $n$ element set into $k$ blocks. They have a natural $q$-analogue called the $q$-Stirling numbers of the second kind denoted by $S[n, k]$. They were first defined in the work of Carlitz [4]. A lot of combinatorial work has centered around this $q$-analogue, the earliest by Milne [12,13]; also see [6,9,19,20].

The goal of this article is to evaluate determinants involving $q$-Stirling numbers and give bijective proofs whenever possible. Our tool is the juggling interpretation of $q$-Stirling numbers. Juggling patterns were introduced and studied by Buhler et al. [2]. More combinatorial work was done in [3]. Together with Readdy, the author introduced a crossing statistic in the study of juggling patterns to obtain a $q$-analogue [8]. Notably, Ehrenborg-Readdy give an interpretation of the $q$-Stirling numbers of the second kind $S[n, k]$ in terms of juggling patterns. This combinatorial interpretation is useful in obtaining identities involving the $q$-Stirling numbers; see for instance Theorem 3.3. This interpretation of $q$-Stirling numbers is equivalent to the rook placement interpretation of Garsia and Remmel [9].

[^0]In Section 3 we evaluate the determinant $\operatorname{det}(S[s+i+j, s+j])$ and give two different proofs. The bijective proof is based upon the bijection in [7], whereas the second proof uses the $L U$-decomposition of the matrix. Similarly, in Section 4 we give a bijective proof of a result of Cigler that expresses the Hankel determinant of the $q$-exponential polynomials $\tilde{e}_{n}[x]$ as a product [5]. In the last section we extend a result of Sylvester to evaluate the determinant $\operatorname{det}(S(s+i+j, s+j) /(s+i+j)!$ ).

## 2. $q$-analogues

We summarize the basic $q$-analogue notations. For $n$ a non-negative integer, let [ $n$ ] denote the sum $1+q+\cdots+q^{n-1}$. The $q$-factorial $[n]!$ is the product $[1] \cdot[2] \cdots[n]$. We have that

$$
\sum_{\sigma} q^{\operatorname{inv}(\sigma)}=[n]!,
$$

where $\sigma$ ranges over all permutations on $n$ elements. The Gaussian coefficient $\left[\begin{array}{l}n \\ k\end{array}\right]$ is defined by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
k
\end{array}\right]=\frac{[n]!}{[k]!\cdot[n-k]!} .
$$

It has the following combinatorial interpretation. Define the rank of a set $S=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots, s_{k}\right\}$ of positive integers of cardinality $k$ to be the difference $\rho(S)=s_{1}+s_{2}+\cdots+s_{k}-1-$ $2-\cdots-k$. Then the Gaussian coefficient is given by

$$
\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
k
\end{array}\right]=\sum_{S} q^{\rho(S)},
$$

where the sum ranges over all subsets $S$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ of cardinality $k$.
The Stirling number of the second kind $S(n, k)$ is the number of partitions of a set of cardinality $n$ into $k$ blocks. The $q$-Stirling numbers of the second kind are a natural extension of the classical Stirling numbers. The recursive definition of the $q$-Stirling numbers is

$$
S[n, k]=q^{k-1} \cdot S[n-1, k-1]+[k] \cdot S[n-1, k],
$$

where $n, k \geqslant 1$. When $n=0$ or $k=0$, define $S[n, k]=\delta_{n, k}$. The $q$-Stirling numbers are well-studied; see for instance $[6,8,9,11-13,19,20]$. There are several combinatorial interpretations of the $q$-Stirling numbers. We now introduce the interpretation of Ehrenborg and Readdy [8].

Let $\pi$ be a partition of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ into $k$ blocks, that is, $\pi=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}\right\}$. To this partition $\pi$ we associate a juggling pattern consisting of $k$ paths with each path corresponding to a block of the partition. The $i$ th path touches down at the nodes belonging


Fig. 1. The juggling pattern associated with the partition $\pi=\{\{1,3,7\},\{2\},\{4,5\},\{6,8\}\}$. Observe that there are 10 crossings.
to the elements in block $B_{i}$. The juggling pattern is drawn so that arcs do not cross each other multiple times and that no more than two arcs intersect at a point. See Fig. 1 for the juggling pattern corresponding the partition $\pi=\{\{1,3,7\},\{2\},\{4,5\},\{6,8\}\}$. Let cross $(\pi)$ be the number of crossings in the juggling pattern associated with the partition $\pi$. We have the following interpretation of the $q$-Stirling numbers of the second kind [8].

Theorem 2.1 (Ehrenborg-Readdy). The $q$-Stirling number of the second kind $S[n, k]$ is given by

$$
S[n, k]=\sum_{\pi} q^{\operatorname{cross}(\pi)},
$$

where the sum ranges over all partitions $\pi$ of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ into $k$ blocks.

One of the major tools in studying juggling patterns are juggling cards. The juggling card $C_{i}$ is the picture that consists of one node and $k$ paths, where the $(i+1)$ st path from the bottom touches down at the node and then continues as the lowest path. The juggling cards $C_{0}, C_{1}, C_{2}$, and $C_{3}$ are displayed in Fig. 2. Observe that the juggling card $C_{i}$ has exactly $i$ crossings.

Let $\pi$ be a partition on the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. For $S$ a subset of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, define the restricted partition $\left.\pi\right|_{S}$ to be the partition $\left.\pi\right|_{S}=\{B \cap S: B \in \pi, B \cap S \neq \emptyset\}$. Moreover,


Fig. 2. The four juggling cards $C_{0}, C_{1}, C_{2}$, and $C_{3}$.


Fig. 3. The partition $\pi=\{\{1,3,7\},\{2\},\{4,5\},\{6,8\}\}$ represented by juggling cards.
for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, we will define $c_{i}$ so that we can represent our partition as the juggling cards $C_{c_{1}}, \ldots, C_{c_{n}}$. To do this, let $j$ be the maximum of the set

$$
\{0\} \cup\{h: h<i, h \text { and } i \text { belong to the same block of } \pi\} .
$$

Let $c_{i}$ be the number blocks in the restricted partition $\left.\pi\right|_{\{j+1, \ldots, i-1\}}$. It is straightforward to verify that the partition $\pi$ is given by the juggling cards $C_{c_{1}}, \ldots, C_{c_{n}}$. For instance, the partition $\pi=\{\{1,3,7\},\{2\},\{4,5\},\{6,8\}\}$ is represented by the juggling cards $C_{0}, C_{1}, C_{1}$, $C_{2}, C_{0}, C_{3}, C_{2}$, and $C_{1}$ in Fig. 3. Note that the sum of the indices of the cards is the number of crossings.

Observe that $q^{\binom{k}{2}}$ always divides the $q$-Stirling number $S[n, k]$. Sometimes it is convenient to work with the modified $q$-Stirling number $\widetilde{S}[n, k]$ defined by

$$
\widetilde{S}[n, k]=q^{-\binom{k}{2}} \cdot S[n, k] .
$$

The modified $q$-Stirling number of the second kind $\widetilde{S}[n, k]$ has the natural interpretation when we omit the incoming paths and then count the crossings in the remaining pattern. Let $\widetilde{\operatorname{cross}}(\pi)$ denote the number of crossings in such a pattern, that is, $\widetilde{\operatorname{cross}}(\pi)=\operatorname{cross}(\pi)-$ $\binom{k}{2}$. Thus we have

$$
\widetilde{S}[n, k]=\sum_{\pi} q^{\widetilde{\operatorname{cross}(\pi)}}
$$

## 3. The determinant of $\boldsymbol{q}$-Stirling numbers

We now consider the determinant of the matrix consisting of $q$-Stirling numbers. We present two proofs for evaluating this determinant.

Theorem 3.1. Let $n$ and $s$ be non-negative integers. Then we have

$$
\left.\operatorname{det}(S[s+i+j, s+j])_{0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n}=q^{(s+n+1}\right)-\binom{s}{3} \cdot[s]^{0} \cdot[s+1]^{1} \cdots[s+n]^{n} .
$$

Proof. Let $T$ denote the set of all $(n+2)$-tuples $\left(\sigma, \pi_{0}, \pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{n}\right)$ where $\sigma$ is a permutation on the set $\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$ and $\pi_{i}$ is a partition of the set $\{1, \ldots, s+i+\sigma(i)\}$ into $s+\sigma(i)$ blocks. Expanding the determinant we have

$$
\operatorname{det}(S[s+i+j, s+j])_{0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n}=\sum_{\left(\sigma, \pi_{0}, \ldots, \pi_{n}\right) \in T}(-1)^{\sigma} \cdot q^{\operatorname{cross}\left(\pi_{0}\right)+\cdots+\operatorname{cross}\left(\pi_{n}\right)}
$$

Let $\left(\sigma, \pi_{0}, \ldots, \pi_{n}\right)$ be in the set $T$. Let $X_{i}$ be the set $\{1, \ldots, s+i\}$ and $Y_{i}$ the set $\{s+i+1, \ldots, s+i+\sigma(i)\}$. Define the integer $a_{i}=\left|\pi_{i}\right|_{X_{i}} \mid-s$. That is, $a_{i}+s$ is the number of blocks in $\pi_{i}$ that intersect non-trivially the set $X_{i}$. From this we conclude that $a_{i} \leqslant i$. Since the total number of blocks is $s+\sigma(i)$ we also obtain that $a_{i} \leqslant \sigma(i)$. Finally, observe that the number of blocks that are contained in the set $Y_{i}$ is $(s+\sigma(i))-\left(a_{i}+s\right)=\sigma(i)-a_{i}$. This number must be less than or equal to the cardinality of the set $Y_{i}$, which is $\sigma(i)$. Thus we conclude that $a_{i} \geqslant 0$.

Let $T_{1}$ consist of all tuples ( $\sigma, \pi_{0}, \ldots, \pi_{n}$ ) in $T$ such that the $a_{i}$ 's are distinct. Let us now consider those tuples that are in $T_{1}$. Observe that the inequalities $a_{i} \leqslant i$ and $a_{i} \leqslant \sigma(i)$ imply that $a_{i}=i=\sigma(i)$ for all indices $i$. Hence the partition $\pi_{i}$ consists of $s+i$ blocks with each block containing one element from the set $\{1, \ldots, s+i\}$. Observe that such a partition is represented by the juggling cards $C_{0}, C_{1}, \ldots, C_{s+i-1}, C_{\alpha_{1}}, \ldots, C_{\alpha_{i}}$, where $0 \leqslant \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i} \leqslant s+i-1$. Thus summing $q$ to the power of the crossing statistic $\operatorname{cross}\left(\pi_{i}\right)$ over all such possible partitions $\pi_{i}$, we have

$$
\sum_{\pi_{i}} q^{\operatorname{cross}\left(\pi_{i}\right)}=q^{\binom{s+i}{2}} \cdot[s+i]^{i} .
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\left(\sigma, \pi_{0}, \ldots, \pi_{n}\right) \in T_{1}}(-1)^{\sigma} \cdot q^{\operatorname{cross}\left(\pi_{0}\right)+\cdots+\operatorname{cross}\left(\pi_{n}\right)}=\prod_{i=0}^{n} q^{\binom{s+i}{2}} \cdot[s+i]^{i} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $T_{2}$ be the complement of $T_{1}$, that is, $T_{2}=T-T_{1}$. We define a sign-reversing involution on $T_{2}$ as follows. For $\left(\sigma, \pi_{0}, \ldots, \pi_{n}\right)$ in $T_{2}$ we know that there exists a pair of indices $(j, k)$ such that $a_{j}=a_{k}$. Let $(j, k)$ be the least such pair in the lexicographic order. Let $\sigma^{\prime}$ be the permutation $\sigma^{\prime}(j)=\sigma(k), \sigma^{\prime}(k)=\sigma(j)$ and $\sigma^{\prime}(i)=\sigma(i)$ for $i \neq j, k$. Clearly, $(-1)^{\sigma^{\prime}}=-(-1)^{\sigma}$. Moreover, let $\pi_{i}^{\prime}=\pi_{i}$ for $i \neq j, k$.

Assume that $\pi_{j}$ is constructed by the juggling cards $D(1), \ldots, D(s+j), D(s+j+1)$, $\ldots, D(s+j+\sigma(j))$ and $\pi_{k}$ is constructed by the cards $E(1), \ldots, E(s+k), E(s+k+1)$, $\ldots, E(s+k+\sigma(k))$. We now define two new partitions $\pi_{j}^{\prime}$ and $\pi_{k}^{\prime}$. Let $\pi_{j}^{\prime}$ be constructed by the juggling cards $D(1), \ldots, D(s+j), E(s+k+1), \ldots, E(s+j+\sigma(k))$ and $\pi_{k}^{\prime}$ constructed by the cards $E(1), \ldots, E(s+k), D(s+j+1), \ldots, D(s+j+\sigma(j))$.

Notice that we need to add $\sigma(k)-\sigma(j)$ paths at the top of each of the cards $D(1), \ldots, D(s+j)$ and similarly, remove $\sigma(k)-\sigma(j)$ paths from the top of the cards $E(1), \ldots, E(s+k)$ in order that each card has the same number paths as blocks in the partition.

The map $\left(\sigma, \pi_{0}, \ldots, \pi_{n}\right) \mapsto\left(\sigma^{\prime}, \pi_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, \pi_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ on $T_{2}$ defines a sign-reversing involution. Moreover, the quantity $\operatorname{cross}\left(\pi_{0}\right)+\cdots+\operatorname{cross}\left(\pi_{n}\right)$ is invariant under the involution. Thus the determinant is given by the product in Eq. (3.1).

We now present a second proof of Theorem 3.1. It requires some more notation, but as byproduct we obtain identities for $q$-Stirling numbers. For non-negative integers $n, k$ and $h$, let $F^{n}(k, h)$ be the collection of all sequences $\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \in\{0, \ldots, k-1\}^{n}$ such that in the juggling pattern $\left(C_{c_{1}}, \ldots, C_{c_{n}}\right)$ each of the $h$ highest paths at time 0 , that is, the paths labeled $k-h+1, k-h+2, \ldots, k$, touch down at one of nodes in $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Let $f^{n}[k, h]$ denote the $q$-analogue of the cardinality of the set $F^{n}(k, h)$, that is,

$$
f^{n}[k, h]=\sum_{\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \in F^{n}(k, h)} q^{c_{1}+\cdots+c_{n}} .
$$

Lemma 3.2. The polynomial $f^{n}[k, h]$ is given by

$$
f^{n}[k, h]=\sum_{j=0}^{h}(-1)^{j} \cdot q^{\binom{j}{2}} \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
h \\
j
\end{array}\right] \cdot[k-j]^{n} .
$$

Proof. The expression $[k]^{n} q$-enumerates all sequences of $n$ juggling cards with each card having $k$ paths. We will enumerate this set in a second way to obtain a different expression from which the lemma will follow.

Observe that $f^{n}[k-j, h-j]$ enumerates the collection of patterns where the $j$ highest paths do not touch down, but the $h-j$ next highest are forced to touch down. We generalize this observation as follows. Let $S=\left\{i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{j}\right\}$ be a subset of $\{1, \ldots, h\}$. The collection of patterns where the $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{j}$ highest paths do not touch down but the paths in $\{1, \ldots, h\}-\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{j}\right\}$ do touch down is counted by

$$
q^{i_{1}+i_{2}+\cdots+i_{j}-1-2-\cdots-j} \cdot f^{n}[k-j, h-j]=q^{\rho(S)} \cdot f^{n}[k-j, h-j] .
$$

Summing over all subsets $S$ of cardinality $j$, we have

$$
[k]^{n}=\sum_{j=0}^{h}\left[\begin{array}{l}
h \\
j
\end{array}\right] \cdot f^{n}[k-j, h-j] .
$$

Applying the $q$-inversion formula, see [10, Eq. (5)], the lemma follows.
Theorem 3.3. The $q$-Stirling number $S[m+n, k]$ can be expressed by

$$
S[m+n, k]=\sum_{i} S[m, i] \cdot \frac{f^{n}[k, k-i]}{[k-i]!},
$$

where $i$ ranges between $\max (0, k-n)$ and $\min (m, k)$.

Proof. Consider a partition $\pi$ of $\{1, \ldots, m+n\}$ into $k$ blocks. Let $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m+n}$ be the corresponding sequence. When restricting this partition to the set $\{1, \ldots, m\}$, that is, to consider the sequence $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{m}$, we obtain a partition into $i$ blocks. The remaining part of the sequence $c_{m+1}, \ldots, c_{m+n}$ corresponds to a pattern where the $k-i$ highest paths touch down. However, these $k-i$ paths touch down in order of height. Thus we need to divide the term $f^{n}[k, k-i]$ with the $q$-factorial $[k-i]$ ! to take the order of the $k-i$ paths in account.

Finally observe that we need $0 \leqslant i \leqslant m, i \leqslant k$, and $k-i \leqslant n$ to make the terms in the sum non-zero.

Second proof of Theorem 3.1. By Theorem 3.3 we have with $m=s+i, n=j$, and $k=s+j$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S[s+i+j, s+j] & =\sum_{\alpha=s}^{s+\min (i, j)} S[s+i, \alpha] \cdot \frac{f^{j}[s+j, s+j-\alpha]}{[s+j-\alpha]!} \\
& =\sum_{\beta=0}^{\min (i, j)} S[s+i, s+\beta] \cdot \frac{f^{j}[s+j, j-\beta]}{[j-\beta]!} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that the matrix $\mathbf{M}=(S[s+i+j, s+j])_{0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n}$ factors into a lower triangular matrix $\mathbf{L}=(S[s+i, s+\beta])_{0 \leqslant i, \beta \leqslant n}$ and an upper triangular matrix $\mathbf{U}=\left(f^{j}[s+j, j-\right.$ $\beta] /[j-\beta]!)_{0 \leqslant \beta, j \leqslant n}$. Hence the determinant of $\mathbf{M}$ is the product of the elements on the diagonals of $\mathbf{L}$ and $\mathbf{U}$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{det}(\mathbf{M})=\prod_{i=0}^{n} S[s+i, s+i] \cdot f^{i}[s+i, 0]=\prod_{i=0}^{n} q^{\binom{s+i}{2}} \cdot[s+i]^{i} .
$$

## 4. The Hankel determinant for $q$-exponential polynomials

The exponential polynomials $e_{n}(z)$ are defined by $e_{n}(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} S(n, k) \cdot z^{k}=\sum_{\pi} z^{|\pi|}$ where $\pi$ ranges over all partitions of an $n$-element set. Observe that $e_{n}(1)$ is the $n$th Bell number. The Hankel determinant of the Bell numbers and more generally, the exponential polynomials have been considered in several articles [1,7,14-18]. Cigler [5] obtained the $q$-analogue of this Hankel determinant, namely a similar factorization for the Hankel determinant of the $q$-exponential polynomials. We present two proofs of his identity. The first proof is an extension of the bijective proof appearing in [7].

Define the $q$-analogue of $e_{n}(z)$, the $q$-exponential polynomials, by

$$
\tilde{e}_{n}[z]=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \widetilde{S}[n, k] \cdot z^{k}=\sum_{\pi} q^{\widetilde{\operatorname{cross}(\pi)}} \cdot z^{|\pi|}
$$

Theorem 4.1 (Cigler). The Hankel determinant of the $q$-exponential polynomials is

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\tilde{e}_{i+j}[z]\right)_{0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n}=q^{\binom{n+1}{3}} \cdot[0]!\cdot[1]!\cdots[n]!\cdot z^{\binom{n+1}{2}} .
$$

Proof. Let $T$ denote the set of all $(n+2)$-tuples $\left(\sigma, \pi_{0}, \pi_{1}, \ldots, \pi_{n}\right)$ where $\sigma$ is a permutation on the set $\{0,1, \ldots, n\}$ and $\pi_{i}$ is a partition of the set $\{1, \ldots, i+\sigma(i)\}$. Expanding the determinant we have

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\tilde{e}_{i+j}[z]\right)_{0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n}=\sum_{\left(\sigma, \pi_{0}, \ldots, \pi_{n}\right) \in T}(-1)^{\sigma} \cdot q^{\widetilde{\operatorname{cross}}\left(\pi_{0}\right)+\cdots+\widetilde{\operatorname{cross}}\left(\pi_{n}\right)} \cdot z^{\left|\pi_{0}\right|+\cdots+\left|\pi_{n}\right|}
$$

For ( $\sigma, \pi_{0}, \ldots, \pi_{n}$ ) in $T$ define $a_{i}$ to be the number of blocks in $\pi_{i}$ that intersect nontrivially both $\{1, \ldots, i\}$ and $\{i+1, \ldots, i+\sigma(i)\}$. It is clear from both intersections that $a_{i} \leqslant i$ and $a_{i} \leqslant \sigma(i)$.

Let $T_{1}$ consist of all tuples $\left(\sigma, \pi_{0}, \ldots, \pi_{n}\right)$ in $T$ such that the $a_{i}$ 's are distinct. Let us now consider thoses tuples that are in $T_{1}$. Observe that the inequalities $a_{i} \leqslant i$ and $a_{i} \leqslant \sigma(i)$ imply that $a_{i}=i=\sigma(i)$ for all indices $i$. Hence the partition $\pi_{i}$ consists of $i$ blocks with each block containing one element from $\{1, \ldots, i\}$ and one from $\{i+1, \ldots, 2 \cdot i\}$. There are $i$ ! such partitions. They are described by the juggling cards $C_{0}, \ldots, C_{i-1}, C_{\alpha_{0}}, \ldots, C_{\alpha_{i-1}}$, where $i \leqslant \alpha_{i} \leqslant n-1$. Thus summing $q$ to the power of the crossing statistic $\widetilde{\operatorname{cross}}\left(\pi_{i}\right)$ over all such possible partitions $\pi_{i}$, we have $\sum_{\pi_{i}} q^{\widetilde{\operatorname{cross}}\left(\pi_{i}\right)}$. $z^{\left|\pi_{i}\right|}=q^{\binom{i}{2}} \cdot[i]!\cdot z^{i}$. Thus we conclude that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\left(\sigma, \pi_{0}, \ldots, \pi_{n}\right) \in T_{1}}(-1)^{\sigma} \cdot q^{\widetilde{\operatorname{cross}( }\left(\pi_{0}\right)+\cdots+\widetilde{\operatorname{cross}}\left(\pi_{n}\right)} \cdot z^{\left|\pi_{0}\right|+\cdots+\left|\pi_{n}\right|} \\
& =q^{\binom{n+1}{3}} \cdot[0]!\cdot[1]!\cdots[n]!\cdot z^{\binom{n+1}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we define a sign-reversing involution on the set $T_{2}$. For $\left(\sigma, \pi_{0}, \ldots, \pi_{n}\right)$ in $T_{2}$ let $(j, k)$ be the least such pair in the lexicographic order such that $a_{j}=a_{k}$. Define $\sigma^{\prime}$ and $\pi_{i}^{\prime}$ for $i \neq j, k$ as in the first proof of Theorem 3.1. We need to define the partitions $\pi_{j}^{\prime}$ and $\pi_{k}^{\prime}$.

Let $a$ denote $a_{j}=a_{k}$. For $i=j, k$, let $X_{i}$ be the set $\{1, \ldots, i\}$ and $Y_{i}$ be the set $\{i+1, \ldots, i+\sigma(i)\}$. Let $\kappa_{i}$ denote the partition $\pi_{i}$ restricted to the set $X_{i}$ and $\lambda_{i}$ denote the partition restricted to $Y_{i}$. In each of these two partitions mark the $a$ blocks that are restrictions of the blocks having elements in both $X_{i}$ and $Y_{i}$.

Define $\pi_{j}^{\prime}$ to be the join of the partitions $\kappa_{j}$ and $\lambda_{k}$ on the set $X_{j} \cup Y_{k}$ where we join the $a$ marked blocks of $\kappa_{j}$ with the $a$ marked blocks of $\lambda_{k}$. Merge the $a$ blocks in the order described by the partition $\pi_{j}$. Define $\pi_{k}^{\prime}$ similarly. It is clear that $\left|\pi_{j}\right|+\left|\pi_{k}\right|=\left|\pi_{j}^{\prime}\right|+\left|\pi_{k}^{\prime}\right|$. It remains to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\operatorname{cross}}\left(\pi_{j}\right)+\widetilde{\operatorname{cross}}\left(\pi_{k}\right)=\widetilde{\operatorname{cross}}\left(\pi_{j}^{\prime}\right)+\widetilde{\operatorname{cross}}\left(\pi_{k}^{\prime}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove this identity by carefully analyzing the types of crossings in the partition $\pi_{i}$, where $i=j, k$. Let $x_{i}$ be the number blocks of $\kappa_{i}$ that are not marked and similarly define $y_{i}$. Let $\alpha_{i}$ be the number of crossings occurring between the $a$ paths leaving the


Fig. 4. Sketch of the partition $\pi_{j}$ in the proof of Theorem 4.1. Observe that $a=2, x_{j}=2, y_{j}=3$. The crossing displayed are counted by $\alpha_{j}=1, \beta_{j}=2, \gamma_{j}=4$, and $x_{j} \cdot y_{j}=6$.
set $X_{i}$ and arriving at the set $Y_{i}$. Let $\beta_{i}$ be the number of crossings occurring between the $x_{i}$ paths leaving the set $X_{i}$ going upwards and the $a$ paths continuing to the set $Y_{i}$. Symmetrically, let $\gamma_{i}$ be the number crossings occurring between the $y_{i}$ incoming paths and the $a$ continuing paths. We have now taken into account all the crossings of $\pi_{i}$, that is, $\operatorname{cross}\left(\pi_{i}\right)=\operatorname{cross}\left(\kappa_{i}\right)+\operatorname{cross}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)+x_{i} \cdot y_{i}+\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i}+\gamma_{i}$. See Fig. 4 for an example. Since $\binom{a+x_{i}}{2}+\binom{a+y_{i}}{2}+x_{i} \cdot y_{i}-\binom{a+x_{i}+y_{i}}{2}=\binom{a}{2}$, the modified crossing statistic satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\operatorname{cross}}\left(\pi_{i}\right)=\widetilde{\operatorname{cross}}\left(\kappa_{i}\right)+\widetilde{\operatorname{cross}}\left(\lambda_{i}\right)+\binom{a}{2}+\alpha_{i}+\beta_{i}+\gamma_{i} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by the definition of $\pi_{j}^{\prime}$ we have that

$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{cross}}\left(\pi_{j}^{\prime}\right)=\widetilde{\operatorname{cross}}\left(\kappa_{j}\right)+\widetilde{\operatorname{cross}}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)+\binom{a}{2}+\alpha_{j}+\beta_{j}+\gamma_{k} .
$$

By adding this equation to the symmetric one for $\pi_{k}^{\prime}$ Eq. (4.1) follows. Hence we obtain a sign-reversing involution that keeps the necessary statistics invariant, thus proving the expansion.

The next proof is similar to Cigler's proof, namely the objective is to obtain an $L D U$ decomposition of the matrix. However, we are able to obtain this factorization in a purely combinatorial manner. To simplify the notation let us introduce the linear operator $D_{q}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{q}(f(z))=\frac{f(z)-f(q \cdot z)}{(1-q) \cdot z} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the $q$-analogue of the derivative. For our purposes it is enough to observe that $D_{q}\left(z^{n}\right)=[n] \cdot z^{n-1}$.

Second proof of Theorem 4.1. Let $X$ be the set $\{1, \ldots, i\}$ and $Y$ the set $\{i+1, \ldots, i+j\}$. We determine the number of ways to choose a partition on $X \cup Y$. First choose a nonnegative integer $a$. Then choose a partition $\kappa$ on $X$ with $a+x$ blocks, and a partition $\lambda$ on $Y$ with $a+y$ blocks. Select $a$ blocks of $\kappa$ and $a$ blocks of $\lambda$. This can be done in $\binom{a+x}{a} \cdot\binom{a+y}{a}$ ways. There are $a$ ! ways to match these selected blocks. We then obtain a partition $\pi$ on $X \cup Y$ with $a+x+y$ blocks.

The crossing statistic of the partition $\pi$ is described by Eq. (4.2) except without any subscripts. However, notice that when summing over all the ways to obtain the partition $\pi$ from $\kappa$ and $\lambda$, the $\alpha$-crossings will be counted by [a]!. Similarly, the $\beta$-crossings will be counted by $\left[\begin{array}{c}a+x \\ a\end{array}\right]$ and the $\gamma$-crossings will be counted by $\left[\begin{array}{c}a+y \\ a\end{array}\right]$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{e}_{i+j}[z]= & \sum_{a \geqslant 0} \sum_{x \geqslant 0} \sum_{y \geqslant 0} \widetilde{S}[i, a+x] \cdot \widetilde{S}[j, a+y] \cdot q^{\binom{a}{2}} \cdot[a]!\cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
a+x \\
a
\end{array}\right] \cdot\left[\begin{array}{c}
a+y \\
a
\end{array}\right] \cdot z^{a+x+y} \\
= & \sum_{a \geqslant 0}\left(\sum_{x \geqslant 0} \widetilde{S}[i, a+x] \cdot \frac{[a+x]!}{[x]!} \cdot z^{x}\right) \cdot \frac{q^{\binom{a}{2}} \cdot z^{a}}{[a]!} \\
& \times\left(\sum_{y \geqslant 0} \widetilde{S}[j, a+y] \cdot \frac{[a+y]!}{[y]!} \cdot z^{y}\right) \\
= & \sum_{a \geqslant 0} D_{q}^{a}\left(\tilde{e}_{i}[z]\right) \cdot \frac{q^{\binom{a}{2}} \cdot z^{a}}{[a]!} \cdot D_{q}^{a}\left(\tilde{e}_{j}[z]\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the Hankel matrix $\left(\tilde{e}_{i+j}[z]\right)_{0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n}$ factors into a lower triangular matrix $\mathbf{L}=$ $\left(D_{q}^{a}\left(\tilde{e}_{i}[z]\right)\right)_{0 \leqslant i, a \leqslant n}$, a diagonal matrix $\mathbf{D}$ having $q^{\binom{a}{2}} \cdot z^{a} /[a]!$ as its $(a, a)$ entry and an upper triangular matrix $\mathbf{U}=\mathbf{L}^{*}$. Thus the determinant of the Hankel matrix is the product of the diagonal elements of these three matrices, that is,

$$
\prod_{i=0}^{n} D_{q}^{i}\left(\tilde{e}_{i}[z]\right)^{2} \cdot \frac{q^{\binom{i}{2}} \cdot z^{i}}{[i]!}=\prod_{i=0}^{n}[i]!\cdot q^{\binom{i}{2}} \cdot z^{i}
$$

## 5. An extension of a theorem of Sylvester

On the space of infinitely differentiable functions of two variables $x$ and $y$, define the operator $T_{n}$ by

$$
T_{n}(f)=\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial^{i+j} f}{\partial x^{i} \partial y^{j}}\right)_{0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n}
$$

The operator $T_{n}$ satisfies the following identity.
Theorem 5.1. The operators $T_{n}$ satisfy the functional equation

$$
T_{1}\left(T_{n}(f)\right)=T_{n-1}(f) \cdot T_{n+1}(f)
$$

Proof. Let $M$ denote the $(n+2) \times(n+2)$-matrix $\left(\partial^{i+j} f / \partial x^{i} \partial y^{j}\right)_{0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n+1}$. For $S$ and $T$ subsets of $\{0,1, \ldots, n+1\}$ having the same cardinality let $m_{S, T}$ denote the minor with the
rows indexed by the set $n+1-S=\{n+1-s: s \in S\}$ removed and the columns indexed by $n+1-T$ removed. Applying the Desnanot-Jacobi adjoint matrix theorem, we have

$$
m_{\{0\},\{0\}} \cdot m_{\{1\},\{1\}}-m_{\{0\},\{1\}} \cdot m_{\{1\},\{0\}}=m_{\{0,1\},\{0,1\}} \cdot m_{\emptyset, \emptyset}
$$

It is now straightforward to verify that this identity is the desired result.
Corollary 5.2 (Sylvester). Define the operator $S_{n}$ by $S_{n}(g)=\operatorname{det}\left(\partial^{i+j} / \partial x^{i+j} g\right)_{0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n}$. Then the operators $S_{n}$ satisfy the functional equation

$$
S_{1}\left(S_{n}(g)\right)=S_{n-1}(g) \cdot S_{n+1}(g)
$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 5.1 to the function $f(x, y)=g(x+y)$ and then set $y=0$.
This result was used by Radoux in one of his proofs of the Hankel determinant of the exponential polynomials [16]. Namely, by induction and Corollary 5.2 compute $S_{n}(g)$, where

$$
g(x)=\exp \left(z \cdot\left(\mathrm{e}^{x}-1\right)\right)=\sum_{n \geqslant 0} e_{n}(z) \cdot x^{n} / n!
$$

and then set $x=0$.
As an application of Theorem 5.1, we evaluate the following determinant.

## Theorem 5.3.

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{S(s+i+j, s+j)}{(s+i+j)!}\right)_{0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n}=\frac{2^{s \cdot(n+1)}}{(2 s)!!\cdot(2 s+2)!!\cdots(2 s+2 n)!!},
$$

where $k!$ ! denotes the double factorial $k \cdot(k-2) \cdots 2$.
Proof. In the expression $\exp \left(y \cdot\left(\mathrm{e}^{x}-1\right)\right)=\sum_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant k} S(k, j) \cdot x^{k} / k!\cdot y^{j}$ substitute $y / x$ for $y$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\exp \left(y \cdot\left(\mathrm{e}^{x}-1\right) / x\right) & =\sum_{0 \leqslant j \leqslant k} S(k, j) / k!\cdot x^{k-j} \cdot y^{j} \\
& =\sum_{0 \leqslant i, j} S(i+j, j) /(i+j)!\cdot x^{i} \cdot y^{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 5.1 and by induction on $n$ it is straightforward to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{n}\left(\frac{\partial^{s}}{\partial y^{s}} f\right)=0!\cdot 1!\cdots n!\cdot\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} x} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{x}-1}{x}\right)^{\binom{n+1}{2}} \cdot\left(\frac{\partial^{s}}{\partial y^{s}} f\right)^{n+1}, \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(x, y)=\exp \left(y \cdot\left(\mathrm{e}^{x}-1\right) / x\right)$. Now set $x=y=0$ in Eq. (5.1). We obtain that

$$
\operatorname{det}(S(s+i+j, s+j) /(s+i+j)!\cdot i!\cdot(s+j)!)_{0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n}=0!\cdot 1!\cdots n!\cdot(1 / 2)_{\binom{n+1}{2}}
$$

Divide each side by $0!\cdot 1!\cdots n!\cdot s!\cdot(s+1)!\cdots(s+n)$ ! and the result follows.

## 6. Concluding remarks

Cigler also obtained expressions for the two shifted Hankel determinants:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det}\left(\tilde{e}_{i+j+1}[z]\right)_{0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n}=q^{\binom{n+2}{2}} \cdot[0]!\cdot[1]!\cdots[n]!\cdot z^{\binom{n+2}{2}}, \\
& \operatorname{det}\left(\tilde{e}_{i+j+2}[z]\right)_{0 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n}=q^{\binom{n+2}{3}} \cdot[0]!\cdot[1]!\cdots[n]!\cdot z^{\binom{n+2}{2}} \cdot\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} q^{\binom{k}{2}} \cdot z^{k} \cdot \frac{[n+1]!}{[k]!}\right) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

see [5, Satz 1]. Can bijective proofs be found for these identities? Moreover, considering the other $q$-analogue of the exponential polynomials, namely

$$
e_{n}[z]=\sum_{k=0}^{n} S[n, k] \cdot z^{k}=\sum_{\pi} q^{\operatorname{cross}(\pi)} \cdot z^{|\pi|}
$$

he also has expressions for the Hankel determinant and the two shifted Hankel determinants of $e_{n}[z]$; see [5, Satz 2]. Again it is natural to ask for bijective proofs. However, this might be more challenging since in these cases the determinant is equal to a product whose factors contain terms with negative signs.

One generalization of the $q$-Stirling numbers is the $p, q$-Stirling numbers [6,20]. Can any of the results appearing in this paper be extended to them?

We ask if there is a $q$-analogue of Theorem 5.3. More interestingly, is there a natural $q$-analogue of the two variable Sylvester's Theorem 5.1. One suggestion is to use the $q$ analogue of the derivative given in Eq. (4.3).
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