Curl

Divergence

Divergence Theorem, Stokes Theorem

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Math 213 - Divergence and Curl

Peter A. Perry

University of Kentucky

November 30, 2018



Curl

Divergence

Divergence Theorem, Stokes Theorem

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Homework

- Work on Stewart problems for 16.5: 1-11 (odd), 12, 13-17 (odd), 21, 23, 25
- Finish Homework D2 due tonight
- Begin Homework D3 due Wednesday, December 5

Cur

Divergence

Divergence Theorem, Stokes Theorem

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ ―臣 … のへで

Unit IV: Vector Calculus

Lecture 35Vector FieldsLecture 36Line Integrals ILecture 37Line Integrals IILecture 38Fundamental TheoremLecture 39Green's TheoremLecture 40Curl and Divergence

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

Goals of the Day

This lecture is about two very important 'derivatives' of a vector field. You'll learn:

- How to compute the *curl* of a vector field and what it measures
- How to compute the *divergence* of a vector field and what it measures
- (Sneak preview) The theorems that give the meaning of divergence and curl

Curl

Divergence

Curl

If $F = P\mathbf{i} + Q\mathbf{j} + R\mathbf{k}$ is a vector field on \mathbb{R}^3 , and the partial derivatives of P, Q, and R all exist, then the *curl* of \mathbf{F} is a new vector field:

$$\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{F} = \left(\frac{\partial R}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial Q}{\partial z}\right) \mathbf{i} + \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial R}{\partial x}\right) \mathbf{j} + \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}\right) \mathbf{k}$$

This new vector field measures the "rotation" of the vector field **F** at a given point (x, y, z):

- Its direction is the axis of rotation, dictated by the right-hand rule
- Its magnitude is the angular speed of rotation

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Curl

Learning Goals

Divergence

Curl

$$\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{F} = \left(\frac{\partial R}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial Q}{\partial z}\right) \mathbf{i} + \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial R}{\partial x}\right) \mathbf{j} + \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y}\right) \mathbf{k}$$

The new vector field curl ${\bf F}$ is sometimes written $\nabla\times {\bf F}$ because of an easier-to-remember formula:

$$\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{F} = \nabla \times \mathbf{F} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ P & Q & R \end{vmatrix}$$

Curl

Divergence

Divergence Theorem, Stokes Theorem

Curl

F(x, y, z) = xi + yj + zk

If $\mathbf{F} = x\mathbf{i} + y\mathbf{j} + z\mathbf{k}$ then $\nabla \times \mathbf{F} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ x & y & z \end{vmatrix} = \mathbf{0}$

 $\mathbf{F}(x, y, z) = y\mathbf{i} - x\mathbf{j} + 0\mathbf{k}$



If $\mathbf{F} = y\mathbf{i} - x\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{0}\mathbf{k}$ then

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{F} = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ y & -x & \mathbf{0} \end{vmatrix} = -2\mathbf{k}$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへで



A gradient vector field has zero curl:

$$\nabla \times (\nabla f) = \begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{i} & \mathbf{j} & \mathbf{k} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} - \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}\right) \mathbf{i} + \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial z} - \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial y}\right) \mathbf{j} + \left(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial x} - \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial z}\right) \mathbf{k}$$
$$= \mathbf{0}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

so the curl "detects" conservative vector fields.



Divergence

The *divergence* of a vector field $\mathbf{F} = P\mathbf{i} + Q\mathbf{j} + R\mathbf{k}$ is a *scalar* function:

div
$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial R}{\partial z}$$

Sometimes div **F** is written $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}$:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right\rangle \cdot \left\langle P, Q, R \right\rangle = \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial Q}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial R}{\partial z}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The divergence computes the outflow per unit volume of the vector field (thought of as a velocity field)

Curl

Divergence

Divergence



If $\mathbf{F} = x\mathbf{i} + y\mathbf{j} + z\mathbf{k}$ then $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = \frac{\partial x}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial z}{\partial z} = 3$

(same outflow at each point of space)





If
$$\mathbf{F} = y\mathbf{i} - x\mathbf{j} + 0\mathbf{k}$$
 then
 $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F} = \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial x}{\partial y} + 0 = 0$

(no outflow anywhere in space)

くして 「「」 (山下) (山下) (山下) (山下)

Curl

Divergence

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Divergence

Remember that $\nabla\times(\nabla f)=$ 0? There is an analogous result for the divergence:

 $\operatorname{div}\operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{F}=0$

You can see this using the definitions of divergence and curl:

div curl
$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{\partial R}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial Q}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial R}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial P}{\partial y} \right)$$

The second partial derivatives cancel in pairs by Clairaut's theorem.

It turns out that any vector field F can be written as

$$\mathbf{F} = \nabla f + \nabla \times \mathbf{A}$$

for a scalar potential f and a vector potential A.

Divergence and Curl

If f is a scalar function and **F** is a vector function, which of these expressions make sense? Do they define a scalar or a vector? Remember that

- curl **F** is a vector
- div **F** is a scalar
- (a) $\operatorname{curl} f$ (b) $\operatorname{grad} f$
- (c) div **F** (d) curl(grad f)
- $(e) \quad \operatorname{grad} {\bf F} \qquad \qquad (f) \quad \operatorname{grad}(\operatorname{div} {\bf F}) \\$
- (g) $\operatorname{div}(\operatorname{grad} f)$ (h) $\operatorname{grad}(\operatorname{div} f)$
- (i) $\operatorname{curl}(\operatorname{curl} \mathbf{F})$ (j) $\operatorname{div}(\operatorname{div} f)$

Curl

Divergence

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Conservative Vector Fields Again

Determine whether the vector field

$$\mathbf{F}(x, y, z) = y^2 z^3 \mathbf{i} + 2xyz^3 \mathbf{j} + 3xy^2 z^2 \mathbf{k}$$

is conservative and, if so, find a function f so that $\nabla f = \mathbf{F}$.



Show that $\operatorname{div}(f\mathbf{F}) = \nabla f \cdot \mathbf{F} + f \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F}$



Divergence Theorem, Stokes' Theorem

Divergence Theorem Suppose *E* is a simple solid region and *S* is its boundary. Let \mathbf{N} be the outward normal to *S*. Then

$$\iint_{S} \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{N} \, dS = \iiint_{E} \operatorname{div} \mathbf{F} \, dV$$

Stokes' Theorem Suppose *S* is an oriented piecewise-smooth surface with outward normal N, bounded by a simple closed curve *C* with piecewise smooth boundary. Then

$$\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \iint_S \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{N} \, dS$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲匡▶ ▲匡▶ ― 臣 … のへで