Math 213 - Lagrange Multipliers

Peter A. Perry

University of Kentucky

October 9, 2019

・ ロト・ 御 ト・ ヨト・ ヨト・ ヨー うへぐ

Peter A. Perr

Math 213 - Lagrange Multiplier

Reminders

- Homework B6 on 14.7 (maxima and minima) is due Friday
- Homework B7 on 14.8 (Lagrange multipliers) is due Monday!
- There is a drop-in review session for Exam II on Monday, October 14, 6:00-8:00 PM, KAS 213
- Exam II takes place on Wednesday, October 16, 5:00-7:00 PM

∃ → < ∃</p>

Unit II: Functions of Several Variables

13.3-4 Lecture 11: Velocity and Acceleration

- 14.1 Lecture 12: Functions of Several Variables
- 14.3 Lecture 13: Partial Derivatives
- 14.4 Lecture 14: Linear Approximation
- 14.5 Lecture 15: Chain Rule, Implicit Differentiation
- 14.6 Lecture 16: Directional Derivatives and the Gradient
- 14.7 Lecture 17: Maximum and Minimum Values, I
- 14.7 Lecture 18: Maximum and Minimum Values, II
- 14.8 Lecture 19: Lagrange Multipliers
- 15.1 Double Integrals
- 15.2 Double Integrals over General Regions Exam II Review

Learning Goals

- Understand the geometrical idea behind Lagrange's Multiplier Method
- Use the Lagrange Multiplier Method to solve max/min problems with one constraint
- Use the Lagrange Multiplier Method to solve max/min problems with two constraints

• • = • • =

A Word from Our Sponsor

Pierre-Louis Lagrange (1736-1810) was born in Italy but lived and worked for much of his life in France. Working in the generation following Newton (1642–1727), he made fundamental contributions in the calculus of variations, in celestial mechanics, in the solution of polynomial equations, and in power series representation of functions.

Lagrange lived through the French revolution, during which time the chemist Lavoisier was beheaded. Of Lavoisier's death, Lagrange remarked:

It took the mob only a moment to remove his head; a century will not suffice to reproduce it.



▶ < ∃ ▶</p>

Image credit: http://www-groups.dcs.st-and.ac.uk/history/Biographies/Lagrange.html



y x Remember that the gradient is normal to the level curves of a function

At left are the level curves of:

•
$$f(x,y) = x^2 - y^2$$

•
$$g(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$$



Peter A. Perr



y x Remember that the gradient is normal to the level curves of a function

At left are the level curves of:

- $f(x,y) = x^2 y^2$
- $g(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$
- Which way does the gradient point for each picture?

Peter A. Perr



Remember that the gradient is normal to the level curves of a function

At left are the level curves of:

- $f(x,y) = x^2 y^2$
- $g(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$
- Which way does the gradient point for each picture?
- Are there any points where ∇f and ∇g are parallel?

University of Kentucky

∃ > ∢



Remember that the gradient is normal to the level curves of a function

At left are the level curves of:

- $f(x,y) = x^2 y^2$
- $g(x,y) = x^2 + y^2$
- Which way does the gradient point for each picture?
- Are there any points where ∇f and ∇g are parallel?
- What about the respective tangent lines at any such points?

• • = • • =





Problem Find the absolute minimum and maximum value of

$$f(x,y) = x^2 - y^2$$

if

$$x^2 + y^2 = 1$$

In this problem:

• the function *f*(*x*, *y*) is the *objective function*

ヘロト 人間 とくほ とくほど

3

• the equation $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ is the *constraint*

Where do extreme values occur?





Problem Find the absolute minimum and maximum value of

$$f(x,y) = x^2 - y^2$$

if

$$x^2 + y^2 = 1$$

In this problem:

• the function *f*(*x*, *y*) is the *objective function*

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

3

• the equation $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ is the *constraint*

Where do extreme values occur?

Maxima: f(1,0) = f(-1,0) = 1Minima: f(0,1) = f(0,-1) = -1

Lagrange's Condition







Problem Find the absolute minimum and maximum value of

$$f(x,y) = x^2 - y^2$$

if

$$g(x,y) = x^2 + y^2 = 1$$

Extreme values occur where ∇f and ∇g are parallel, i.e., where

$$\nabla f = \lambda \nabla g$$

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Why does this work?

Peter A. Perry

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 臣▶ ▲ 臣▶ 二臣 - のへ⊙

Why Is $\nabla f = \lambda \nabla g$ at an Extremum?

Problem Find the absolute minimum and maximum of f(x, y) subject to a constraint g(x,y) = c.

- The constraint restricts (x, y) to a level curve (x(t), y(t)) of g
- So we want to minimize $\phi(t) = f(x(t), g(t))$, a function of one variable
- By the chain rule, the condition $\phi'(t) = 0$ is the same as

 $(\nabla f)(x(t), y(t)) \cdot (x'(t), y'(t)) = 0$

- That is, ∇f is perpendicular to the tangent line to the level curve
- That is, ∇f is parallel to ∇g

The number λ is called a *Lagrange Multiplier*

イロト イポト イヨト 一日

Lagrange Multipliers: One Constraint, Two Variables

To find the maximum and minimum values of f(x, y) subject to the constraint g(x, y) = k:

(a) Find all (x, y, λ) so that

$$abla f(x,y) = \lambda \nabla g(x,y)$$

 $g(x,y) = k$

(b) Test the solutions (x, y) to find the maximum and minimum values

- **1** Find the maximum and minimum values of $f(x, y) = x^2 y^2$ subject to the constraint $x^2 + y^2 = 1$.
- 2 Find the maximum and minimum values of f(x, y) = 3x + y subject to the constraint $x^2 + y^2 = 10$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Lagrange Multipliers: One Constraint, Three Variables

To find the maximum and minimum values of f(x, y, z) subject to the constraint g(x, y, z) = k:

(a) Find all (x, y, z, λ) so that

$$\nabla f(x, y, z) = \lambda \nabla g(x, y, z)$$

$$g(x,y,z)=k$$

(b) Test the solutions (x, y, z) to find the maximum and minimum values

1 Find the maximum and minimum values of $f(x, y, z) = e^{xyz}$ subject to the constraint $2x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 24$

Lagrange Multipliers: Two Constraints, Three Variables

To find the maximum and minimum values of f(x, y, z) subject to the constraint $g(x, y, z) = k, h(x, y, z) = \ell$:

(a) Find all (x, y, z, λ, μ) so that

$$\nabla f(x, y, z) = \lambda \nabla g(x, y, z) + \mu \nabla h(x, y, z)$$
$$g(x, y, z) = k$$
$$h(x, y, z) = \ell$$

(b) Test the solutions (x, y, z) to find the maximum and minimum values

Find the extreme values of f(x, y, z) = x + y + z subject to the constraints

$$x^2 + z^2 = 2$$
$$x + y = 1$$

University of Kentucky

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

Why Does the Two-Constraint Method Work?

Find the maximum and minimum values of f(x, y, z) subject to the constraints

$$g(x, y, z) = k$$
$$h(x, y, z) = \ell$$

- The surfaces $S_1 = \{(x, y, z) : g(x, y, z) = k\}$ and $S_2 = \{(x, y, z) : h(x, y, z) = \ell\}$ intersect in a curve *C*
- We know that $\nabla f(x, y, z)$ is orthogonal to *C* if *f* has an extremum at (x, y, z)
- We know that $\nabla g(x, y, z)$ and $\nabla h(x, y, z)$ are also orthogonal to *C*
- Hence, there are numbers λ and μ so that

$$\nabla f(x, y, z) = \lambda \nabla g(x, y, z) + \mu \nabla h(x, y, z)$$

Summary

- We learned the Lagrange multiplier method for solving maximum/minimum problems with one constraint (one Lagrange multiplier)
- We learned the Lagrange multiplier method for solving maximum/minimum problems with two constraints

∃ → < ∃</p>