

Math 213 - Fundamental Theorem for Line Integrals

Peter A. Perry

University of Kentucky

April 5, 2019

Homework

- Read Section 16.4 for Monday
- Work on Stewart problems for 16.3: 1, 2, 3-9 (odd), 13-19 (odd), 23, 25, 31-35
- Homework C7 on section 16.2 is due tonight!

Unit IV: Vector Calculus

- Lecture 24 Triple Integrals
- Lecture 25 Triple Integrals, Continued
- Lecture 26 Triple Integrals - Cylindrical Coordinates
- Lecture 27 Triple Integrals - Spherical Coordinates
- Lecture 28 Change of Variables for Multiple Integrals, I
- Lecture 29 Change of Variable for Multiple Integrals, II

- Lecture 30 Vector Fields
- Lecture 31 Line Integrals (Scalar Functions)
- Lecture 32 Line Integrals (Vector Functions)
- Lecture 33 **Fundamental Theorem for Line Integrals**
- Lecture 34 Green's Theorem

- Lecture 35 Exam III Review


Goals of the Day

- Learn the Vocabulary for Section 16.3
- Learn the Fundamental Theorem for Line Integrals
- Learn what it means for a line integral to be *independent of path*
- Learn how to tell when a vector field \mathbf{F} is *conservative* and how to find the function f with $\nabla f = \mathbf{F}$


Vocabulary - Open Regions

open region A region D of \mathbb{R}^2 or \mathbb{R}^3 where for every point P in the region, there is a disc or sphere centered at P contained in D


Which of the following regions is open?



$$\{(x, y) : x > 1\}$$



$$\{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 \leq 4\}$$



$$\{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 > 4\}$$

Chain Rule Puzzler

If $f(x, y, z)$ is a function and $\mathbf{r}(t) = \langle x(t), y(t), z(t) \rangle$ is a parameterized curve, what is

$$\frac{d}{dt} [f(x(t), y(t), z(t))]$$

in terms of ∇f and $\mathbf{r}'(t)$?

Answer: $\nabla f(\mathbf{r}(t)) \cdot \mathbf{r}'(t)$

Remember the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus?

What is

$$\int_a^b \frac{d}{dt} F(t) dt ?$$

(Remember the *Net Change Theorem*?)

Answer: $F(b) - F(a)$

Line Integral of a Gradient Vector Field

Suppose $\mathbf{F} = \nabla f$ for a potential function $f(x, y, z)$

Suppose $\mathbf{r}(t)$, $a \leq t \leq b$ is a parameterized path C .

Is there a simple way to compute

$$\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \int_a^b \nabla f(\mathbf{r}(t)) \cdot \mathbf{r}'(t) dt = \int_a^b \frac{d}{dt} (f(\mathbf{r}(t))) dt$$

like the one-variable “net change theorem”?


Answer: You bet!

Line Integral of a Gradient Vector Field

Theorem Suppose that $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{r}) = \nabla f(\mathbf{r})$ is a gradient vector field, and C is a path parameterized by $\mathbf{r}(t)$, $a \leq t \leq b$. Then

$$\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = f(\mathbf{r}(b)) - f(\mathbf{r}(a))$$

How to think about the Fundamental Theorem for Line Integrals



The figure at the left shows a curve C and a contour map of a function f whose gradient is continuous. Find $\int_C \nabla f \cdot d\mathbf{r}$.

Hint: Think of f as a height function, and the contour plot as a contour map. The gradient gives the magnitude and direction of the greatest change in height at any given point.




Vocabulary - Paths and Vector Fields


path A piecewise smooth curve

closed path A curve whose initial and terminal points are the same

conservative vector field A vector field \mathbf{F} which is the gradient of a scalar function f , called the *potential*, so that $\mathbf{F} = \nabla f$


Which of the following is not a closed path?





At left is the contour plot for a function f whose gradient is continuous.


Compute the following:



At left is the contour plot for a function f whose gradient is continuous.

Compute the following:


- $\int_{C_1} \nabla f \cdot d\mathbf{r}$



At left is the contour plot for a function f whose gradient is continuous.

Compute the following:


- $\int_{C_1} \nabla f \cdot d\mathbf{r}$
- $\int_{C_2} \nabla f \cdot d\mathbf{r}$



At left is the contour plot for a function f whose gradient is continuous.

Compute the following:

- $\int_{C_1} \nabla f \cdot d\mathbf{r}$
- $\int_{C_2} \nabla f \cdot d\mathbf{r}$
- Does it matter what path connects the endpoints?



At left is the contour plot for a function f whose gradient is continuous.

Compute the following:


- $\int_{C_1} \nabla f \cdot d\mathbf{r}$
- $\int_{C_2} \nabla f \cdot d\mathbf{r}$
- Does it matter what path connects the endpoints?

Definition A line integral $\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$ is *independent of path* in a domain D if

$$\int_{C_1} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \int_{C_2} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$$

for any two paths C_1 and C_2 that have the same initial and terminal points.

Path Independence and Closed Paths




If

$$\int_{C_1} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \int_{C_2} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$$

and we reverse the direction of $C_2 \dots$

Path Independence and Closed Paths



If

$$\int_{C_1} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \int_{C_2} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$$


and we reverse the direction of $C_2 \dots$

Then

$$\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = 0$$

where C is the closed loop path that starts with C_1 and ends with $-C_2$.

Path Independence and Closed Paths



If

$$\int_{C_1} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = \int_{C_2} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$$

and we reverse the direction of $C_2 \dots$

Then

$$\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = 0$$

where C is the closed loop path that starts with C_1 and ends with $-C_2$.


Theorem The integral $\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$ is independent of path for all paths in a domain D if and only if $\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r} = 0$ for every closed path in D .

Vocabulary - Connected Regions

connected region A region D of \mathbb{R}^2 or \mathbb{R}^3 where any points P and Q can be connected by a path contained in D

domain An open, connected region of \mathbb{R}^2 or \mathbb{R}^3

Which of these regions is *not* connected?






Vocabulary - Connected Regions

connected region A region D of \mathbb{R}^2 or \mathbb{R}^3 where any points P and Q can be connected by a path contained in D

domain An open, connected region of \mathbb{R}^2 or \mathbb{R}^3

Which of these regions is *not* connected?



Vocabulary - Simply Connected Regions


simple curve

A curve that doesn't intersect itself


simply connected

A connected region so that every simple closed curve in D surrounds only points of D


Which of these regions is *not* simply connected?



$$\{(x, y) : 1 \leq x^2 + y^2 \leq 2\}$$



$$\{(x, y) : (x, y) \neq (0, 0)\}$$



$$\{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 \leq 4\}$$

First Theorem of the Day

Theorem Suppose \mathbf{F} is a vector field that is continuous on an open, **simply** connected region D . If $\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$ is independent of path in D , then \mathbf{F} is a conservative vector field on D ; that is, there is a function f so that $\nabla f = \mathbf{F}$

How do you find the function f (two dimensions)?

- Pick a point (a, b) in the domain D
- Compute

$$f(x, y) = \int_{(a,b)}^{(x,y)} \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$$

- In fact, you can show that this function f satisfies

$$\mathbf{F}(x, y) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y)\mathbf{i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(x, y)\mathbf{j}$$

How You (Almost) Tell when \mathbf{F} is Conservative

Key Observation If $F = \nabla f$ then

$$\mathbf{F}(x, y) = P(x, y)\mathbf{i} + Q(x, y)\mathbf{j} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y)\mathbf{i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\mathbf{j}$$

Compute $\partial P/\partial y$ and $\partial Q/\partial x$ as a second derivative of f :

How You (Almost) Tell when \mathbf{F} is Conservative

Key Observation If $F = \nabla f$ then

$$\mathbf{F}(x, y) = P(x, y)\mathbf{i} + Q(x, y)\mathbf{j} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y)\mathbf{i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\mathbf{j}$$

Compute $\partial P/\partial y$ and $\partial Q/\partial x$ as a second derivative of f :

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}$$

How You (Almost) Tell when \mathbf{F} is Conservative

Key Observation If $F = \nabla f$ then

$$\mathbf{F}(x, y) = P(x, y)\mathbf{i} + Q(x, y)\mathbf{j} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y)\mathbf{i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\mathbf{j}$$

Compute $\partial P/\partial y$ and $\partial Q/\partial x$ as a second derivative of f :

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} \qquad \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}$$

How You (Almost) Tell when \mathbf{F} is Conservative

Key Observation If $F = \nabla f$ then

$$\mathbf{F}(x, y) = P(x, y)\mathbf{i} + Q(x, y)\mathbf{j} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(x, y)\mathbf{i} + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\mathbf{j}$$

Compute $\partial P/\partial y$ and $\partial Q/\partial x$ as a second derivative of f :

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} \qquad \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y}$$

So, by Clairaut's Theorem, for a conservative vector field:

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}$$

Find the Conservative Vector Field

Theorem If $\mathbf{F}(x, y) = P(x, y)\mathbf{i} + Q(x, y)\mathbf{j}$ is a conservative vector field, and P, Q have continuous first-order partials on a domain D , then throughout D


$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}$$

Which of the following vector fields are definitely *not* conservative?

1. $\mathbf{F}(x, y) = -y\mathbf{i} + x\mathbf{j}$
2. $\mathbf{F}(x, y) = x^3\mathbf{i} + y^2\mathbf{j}$
3. $\mathbf{F}(x, y) = ye^x\mathbf{i} + (e^x + e^y)\mathbf{j}$
4. $\mathbf{F}(x, y) = \frac{-y}{x^2 + y^2}\mathbf{i} + \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}\mathbf{j}, \quad (x, y) \neq (0, 0)$

There's One in Every Crowd

$$\mathbf{F}(x, y) = \frac{-y}{x^2 + y^2} \mathbf{i} + \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2} \mathbf{j}$$



1. Does \mathbf{F} satisfy the “conservative vector field” condition?
2. Suppose C is the circle $x^2 + y^2 = 1$. What is $\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$ for the vector field shown?
3. Is the domain

$$\{(x, y) : x^2 + y^2 \neq 0\}$$

simply connected?

Second Theorem of the Day

Theorem Let $\mathbf{F} = P\mathbf{i} + Q\mathbf{j}$ be a vector field defined on an open, simply connected region D . Suppose that P and Q have continuous partial derivatives and

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}$$

throughout D . Then \mathbf{F} is conservative.

Which of the following vector fields are conservative?

1. $\mathbf{F}(x, y) = -y\mathbf{i} + x\mathbf{j}$
2. $\mathbf{F}(x, y) = x^3\mathbf{i} + y^2\mathbf{j}$
3. $\mathbf{F}(x, y) = ye^x\mathbf{i} + (e^x + e^y)\mathbf{j}$
4. $\mathbf{F}(x, y) = \frac{-y}{x^2 + y^2}\mathbf{i} + \frac{x}{x^2 + y^2}\mathbf{j}, \quad (x, y) \neq (0, 0)$

How to Find the Potential f

Recall that if $\mathbf{F} = P\mathbf{i} + Q\mathbf{j} = \nabla f$, then

$$P = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \quad Q = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$$

Example Find f if $\mathbf{F}(x, y) = (y^2 - 2x)\mathbf{i} + 2xy\mathbf{j}$

How to Find the Potential f

Recall that if $\mathbf{F} = P\mathbf{i} + Q\mathbf{j} = \nabla f$, then

$$P = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \quad Q = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$$

Example Find f if $\mathbf{F}(x, y) = (y^2 - 2x)\mathbf{i} + 2xy\mathbf{j}$

1. $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = y^2 - 2x$ so taking antiderivatives in x

$$f(x, y) = y^2x - x^2 + C(y)$$

where $C(y)$ is a constant *that may depend on y*

How to Find the Potential f

Recall that if $\mathbf{F} = P\mathbf{i} + Q\mathbf{j} = \nabla f$, then

$$P = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \quad Q = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$$

Example Find f if $\mathbf{F}(x, y) = (y^2 - 2x)\mathbf{i} + 2xy\mathbf{j}$

1. $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = y^2 - 2x$ so taking antiderivatives in x

$$f(x, y) = y^2x - x^2 + C(y)$$

where $C(y)$ is a constant *that may depend on y*

2. From the answer we found in step 1, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 2xy + C'(y) = 2xy$ so
 $C'(y) = 0$

How to Find the Potential f

Recall that if $\mathbf{F} = P\mathbf{i} + Q\mathbf{j} = \nabla f$, then

$$P = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \quad Q = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$$

Example Find f if $\mathbf{F}(x, y) = (y^2 - 2x)\mathbf{i} + 2xy\mathbf{j}$

1. $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = y^2 - 2x$ so taking antiderivatives in x

$$f(x, y) = y^2x - x^2 + C(y)$$

where $C(y)$ is a constant *that may depend on y*

2. From the answer we found in step 1, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 2xy + C'(y) = 2xy$ so
 $C'(y) = 0$
3. Finally, $f(x, y) = xy^2 - x^2 + C$

Line Integrals of Conservative Vector Fields

Recall that if $\mathbf{F} = P\mathbf{i} + Q\mathbf{j} = \nabla f$, then

$$P = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \quad Q = \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}$$

Example: Find $\int_C \mathbf{F} \cdot d\mathbf{r}$ by finding f so that $\nabla f = \mathbf{F}$ if:

$$\mathbf{F}(x, y) = (1 + xy)e^{xy}\mathbf{i} + x^2e^{xy}\mathbf{j}$$

$$C : \mathbf{r}(t) = \cos t\mathbf{i} + 2\sin t\mathbf{j}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \pi/2$$